

Spokane Collaborative

September 17, 2020

Land Acknowledgement

Written by Jenny Slagle, BHT Director of Tribal Relations



Every community owes its existence and vitality to generations from around the world who contributed their hopes, dreams, and energy to making the history that led to this moment. Some were brought here against their will, some were drawn to leave their distant homes in hope of a better life, and some have lived on this land since time immemorial.

Truth and acknowledgment are critical to building mutual respect and connection across all barriers of heritage and difference, so we begin this meeting acknowledging that we are on the ancestral lands of the Spokane Tribe of Indians and other tribes who are connected through a shared history of trade, celebration, and resources.

We make this acknowledgment to pay respects to their elders, past and present, whose knowledge remains on the land. Please take a moment to consider the many legacies of violence, displacement, migration, and settlement that bring us together here today. And please join us in continuing to learn about such truths and actions we can take towards restorative justice.

Introductions & Reminders

- Update your name with the initials of your workgroup & org name
 - AH – Affordable Housing
 - FV – Family Violence
 - BH – Behavioral Health
- Examples:
 - AH – Amber Johnson (SNAP)
 - FV – Sara Rodgers (SRHD)
 - BH – Hadley Morrow (BHT)



Partner Showcase – New members!

- Tenant Union of Washington
- Spectrum
- Next Generation Zone
- Spokane City Council
- Spokane Regional Domestic Violence Coalition
- Inland Northwest Land Conservancy
- Spokane Low Income Housing Consortium

Overview

- The Wilder Collaboration Factors Inventory Results
 - Collaborative as a whole
 - Affordable housing
 - Child abuse and neglect
 - Behavioral health



Interpreting scores

- Scores of **4.0 or higher** show a strength and probably don't need special attention
- Scores from **3.0 to 3.9** are borderline and should be discussed by the group to see if they deserve attention.
- Scores of **2.9 or lower** reveal a concern and should be addressed.





Whole Collaborative Results

- 38 individuals responded to the survey.
- Lowest five scores:
 - Collaborative group seen as a legitimate leader in the community - 3.5
 - Multiple layers of participation – 3.6
 - Development of clear roles and policy guidelines – 3.6
 - Sufficient funds, staff, materials, and time – 3.6
 - History of collaboration and cooperation in the community – 3.7
 - Appropriate cross-section of members – 3.7
 - **Established informal relationships and communication links – 3.7**
 - Engaged stakeholders – 3.7

Factor	Whole Group (50) - Whole Group (38) -	
	Jan.	July
<i>History of collaboration and cooperation in the community</i>	3.6	3.7
<i>Collaborative group seen as a legitimate leader in the community</i>	3.5	3.5
<i>Favorable political and social climate</i>	4.2	4.1
<i>Mutual respect, understanding, and trust</i>	4.2	4.2
<i>Appropriate cross section of members</i>	3.5	3.7
<i>Members see collaboration as being in their self-interest</i>	4.5	4.4
<i>Ability to compromise</i>	3.7	3.9
<i>Members share a stake in both process and outcome</i>	3.9	4.0
<i>Multiple layers of participation</i>	3.5	3.6
<i>Flexibility</i>	3.9	4.1
<i>Development of clear roles and policy guidelines</i>	3.6	3.6
<i>Adaptability to changing conditions</i>	3.8	4.0
<i>Appropriate pace of development</i>	3.6	3.9
<i>Evaluation and continuous learning</i>	3.7	3.8
<i>Open and frequent communication</i>	4	4.1
<i>Established informal relationships and communication links</i>	3.8	3.7
<i>Concrete, attainable goals and objectives</i>	3.9	4.0
<i>Shared vision</i>	4	4.0
<i>Unique purpose</i>	4.2	4.1
<i>Sufficient funds, staff, materials, and time</i>	3.4	3.6
<i>Skilled leadership</i>	4.1	4.3
<i>Engaged stakeholders</i>	3.4	3.7



Affordable Housing Results

- 10 individuals responded from this subgroup.
- Lowest five scores:
 - **Development of clear roles and policy guidelines** – 3.3
 - Collaborative group seen as a legitimate leader in the community – 3.5
 - Appropriate cross section of members – 3.5
 - Multiple layers of participation – 3.5
 - **Evaluation and continuous learning** – 3.5
 - **Established informal relationships and communication links** – 3.5

Factor	Affordable Housing (9) - Jan.	Affordable Housing (10) - July
<i>History of collaboration and cooperation in the community</i>	3.6	3.6
<i>Collaborative group seen as a legitimate leader in the community</i>	3.2	3.5
<i>Favorable political and social climate</i>	4.2	3.8
<i>Mutual respect, understanding, and trust</i>	3.8	4.0
<i>Appropriate cross section of members</i>	3.2	3.5
<i>Members see collaboration as being in their self-interest</i>	4.3	4.2
<i>Ability to compromise</i>	3.6	3.7
<i>Members share a stake in both process and outcome</i>	3.7	3.9
<i>Multiple layers of participation</i>	3.3	3.5
<i>Flexibility</i>	3.9	3.9
<i>Development of clear roles and policy guidelines</i>	3.6	3.3
<i>Adaptability to changing conditions</i>	3.9	4.0
<i>Appropriate pace of development</i>	3.7	3.8
<i>Evaluation and continuous learning</i>	3.6	3.5
<i>Open and frequent communication</i>	4	3.8
<i>Established informal relationships and communication links</i>	3.8	3.5
<i>Concrete, attainable goals and objectives</i>	4	3.7
<i>Shared vision</i>	3.8	3.8
<i>Unique purpose</i>	4.5	3.7
<i>Sufficient funds, staff, materials, and time</i>	3.3	3.7
<i>Skilled leadership</i>	4	3.9
<i>Engaged stakeholders</i>	3.2	3.6



Child abuse and Neglect Results

- 7 individuals responded from this subgroup.
- Lowest five scores:
 - Collaborative group seen as a legitimate leader in the community – 3.4
 - Appropriate cross section of members 3.4
 - **Sufficient funds, staff, materials, and time** – 3.4
 - Multiple layers of participation – 3.6
 - Evaluation and continuous learning - 3.6

Factor	Child Abuse and Neglect (16) - Jan.	Child Abuse and Neglect (7) - July
<i>History of collaboration and cooperation in the community</i>	3.6	3.9
<i>Collaborative group seen as a legitimate leader in the community</i>	3.4	3.4
<i>Favorable political and social climate</i>	4.2	4.0
<i>Mutual respect, understanding, and trust</i>	4.1	4.4
<i>Appropriate cross section of members</i>	3.4	3.4
<i>Members see collaboration as being in their self-interest</i>	4.6	4.4
<i>Ability to compromise</i>	3.8	3.9
<i>Members share a stake in both process and outcome</i>	3.8	3.9
<i>Multiple layers of participation</i>	3.6	3.6
<i>Flexibility</i>	4	4.1
<i>Development of clear roles and policy guidelines</i>	3.6	3.7
<i>Adaptability to changing conditions</i>	3.8	4.0
<i>Appropriate pace of development</i>	3.7	3.7
<i>Evaluation and continuous learning</i>	3.6	3.6
<i>Open and frequent communication</i>	4	4.0
<i>Established informal relationships and communication links</i>	3.9	4.0
<i>Concrete, attainable goals and objectives</i>	3.9	4.0
<i>Shared vision</i>	3.9	4.1
<i>Unique purpose</i>	4	4.4
<i>Sufficient funds, staff, materials, and time</i>	3.6	3.4
<i>Skilled leadership</i>	4	4.4
<i>Engaged stakeholders</i>	3.1	3.7



Behavioral Health Results

- 23 individuals responded from this subgroup.
- Lowest five scores:
 - History of collaboration and cooperation in the community – 3.6
 - Collaborative group seen as a legitimate leader in the community – 3.6
 - Multiple layers of participation – 3.6
 - **Established informal relationships and communication links** – 3.6
 - Sufficient funds, staff, materials, and time – 3.7
 - Engaged stakeholders – 3.7

Factor	Behavioral Health (30) - Jan.	Behavioral Health (23) - July
<i>History of collaboration and cooperation in the community</i>	3.5	3.6
<i>Collaborative group seen as a legitimate leader in the community</i>	3.5	3.6
<i>Favorable political and social climate</i>	4.2	4.2
<i>Mutual respect, understanding, and trust</i>	4.2	4.2
<i>Appropriate cross section of members</i>	3.5	3.8
<i>Members see collaboration as being in their self-interest</i>	4.5	4.4
<i>Ability to compromise</i>	3.7	4.0
<i>Members share a stake in both process and outcome</i>	4	4.1
<i>Multiple layers of participation</i>	3.5	3.6
<i>Flexibility</i>	3.9	4.1
<i>Development of clear roles and policy guidelines</i>	3.7	3.8
<i>Adaptability to changing conditions</i>	3.8	4.0
<i>Appropriate pace of development</i>	3.6	4.0
<i>Evaluation and continuous learning</i>	3.7	3.9
<i>Open and frequent communication</i>	4	4.2
<i>Established informal relationships and communication links</i>	3.7	3.6
<i>Concrete, attainable goals and objectives</i>	3.9	4.1
<i>Shared vision</i>	4	4.1
<i>Unique purpose</i>	4.2	4.1
<i>Sufficient funds, staff, materials, and time</i>	3.5	3.7
<i>Skilled leadership</i>	4.1	4.4
<i>Engaged stakeholders</i>	3.4	3.7

Thank You!

Sara Rodgers | Research Scientist | SRHD Data Center
(509) 324-1698 | srodgers@srhd.org



Supplemental Questions - Common Themes

What collaborative partnerships or connections have you made as a result of participating in the Spokane Collaborative? Are there new partnerships that have formed since January?

- New partnerships have formed due to COVID-19 related needs/opportunities
- The Collaborative offers a space to learn more about other organizations and what services they offer
- New and existing partnerships are strengthened through the Collaborative

What connections or partnerships are you interested in going forward?

- Collaborate across sectors/workgroups
- CHW partnerships
- Opportunity to incorporate community voice – engage clients, patients, community in Collaborative meetings
- SDoH partnerships
- Solidify referral connections/partnerships

How has your work changed in response to COVID-19, including changes to financial, physical, and workforce resources? How do you anticipate COVID-19 will impact your work for the rest of the year (2020)?

- Remote work/telehealth
- Total loss of workload OR overwhelm
- Struggle with remote engagement - clients
- Access to tech./internet and understanding how to use it
- Expecting to see increase in BH needs this fall
- Some reduced funding/capacity, some remaining steady, some increase in funding

What work are you currently excited about or inspired by?

- Care navigator work
- Being adaptable
- Equity work, anti-racist work
- Coming together as a community

What's something you just haven't gotten off the ground, but could with a little boost or partnership?

- ALTCEW - Organizing available BH resources outside of Medicaid – urgent need
- Spokane Alliance – Connect with a health care provider for a community organized SDoH pilot
- Sunshine Terrace – get library system involved in the Collaborative
- SRHD – CHWs in neighborhoods and community hubs
- CHSW – formal partnerships with PC providers

*Just a few examples, not a complete list

What work are you ready to un-pause?

- Still adjusting to new COVID protocols, and would like to stay paused or add more pause
- Looking forward to meeting clients in person again
- BHT transformation plans!
- Need folks to seek care despite COVID!
- Housing advocacy

Wilder Results – Areas for Growth

- **Sufficient funds, staff, materials & time**

- Has it been harder for folks to participate in the Collaborative?
- What ways could we structure Collaborative work & gatherings to support organizations' staffing and time participation?

- **Multiple layer of participation**

- Could representatives at each level of your organization describe the work of your collaboration?
- What ways could we structure Collaborative work & gatherings to support multiple layers of participation?

- **Appropriate cross-sector of members**

- Who is missing from the table that are affected by or have influence on our activities? Why are they not present?

Wilder Results – Areas for Growth

- **Sufficient funds, staff, materials & time**

- Has it been harder for folks to participate in the Collaborative?
- What ways could we structure Collaborative work & gatherings to support organizations' staffing and time participation?

- **Multiple layer of participation**

- Could representatives at each level of your organization describe the work of your collaboration?
- What ways could we structure Collaborative work & gatherings to support multiple layers of participation?

- **Appropriate cross-sector of members**

- Who is missing from the table that are affected by or have influence on our activities? Why are they not present?

Wilder Results – Areas for Growth

- **Sufficient funds, staff, materials & time**

- Has it been harder for folks to participate in the Collaborative?
- What ways could we structure Collaborative work & gatherings to support organizations' staffing and time participation?

- **Multiple layer of participation**

- Could representatives at each level of your organization describe the work of your collaboration?
- What ways could we structure Collaborative work & gatherings to support multiple layers of participation?

- **Appropriate cross-sector of members**

- Who is missing from the table that are affected by or have influence on these activities? Why are they not present?

Wilder Results – Areas for Growth

- **Sufficient funds, staff, materials & time**

- Has it been harder for folks to participate in the Collaborative?
- What ways could we structure Collaborative work & gatherings to support organizations' staffing and time participation?

- **Multiple layer of participation**

- Could representatives at each level of your organization describe the work of your collaboration?
- What ways could we structure Collaborative work & gatherings to support multiple layers of participation?

- **Appropriate cross-sector of members**

- Who is missing from the table that are affected by or have influence over our activities? Why are they not present?

Equity Workgroup Updates

- Housing workgroup
- Reducing Family Violence workgroup
- Behavioral Health workgroup

Questions before we break out?

Spokane Collaborative

Thank you for our work together!