



Ranking for 1. Do you see this project resulting in long term benefits to our Health System, beyond the 5-year demonstration period?

Alternative	Level	Utility	
17. Providence	2.97	0.985	
18. BHT	2.97	0.985	
01. Chas	2.93	0.965	
13. FCPHD	2.92	0.960	
15. EARHC	2.91	0.955	
07. CC	2.91	0.955	
14. POHC	2.89	0.945	
10. Public Health	2.89	0.945	
16. Lincoln PHD	2.88	0.940	
02. CHAS & FBH	2.86	0.930	
03. YMCA	2.85	0.925	
12. NETCHD	2.85	0.925	
04. OHF	2.85	0.925	
19. SNAP	2.82	0.910	
11. SRHD	2.79	0.895	
05. WDSF	2.78	0.890	
06. OH LLC	2.73	0.865	
09. PHS	2.66	0.830	
08. ALTCEW	2.64	0.820	

Preference Set = NEW PREF. SET



Ranking for 2. Does the presenter demonstrate a solid understanding of the model they propose to use?

Alternative	Level	Utility	
02. CHAS & FBH	2.83	0.915	
18. BHT	2.83	0.915	
10. Public Health	2.83	0.915	
04. OHF	2.79	0.895	
16. Lincoln PHD	2.78	0.890	
01. Chas	2.75	0.875	
14. POHC	2.74	0.870	
15. EARHC	2.74	0.870	
17. Providence	2.73	0.865	
05. WDSF	2.73	0.865	
19. SNAP	2.73	0.865	
07. CC	2.69	0.845	
09. PHS	2.69	0.845	
12. NETCHD	2.68	0.840	
13. FCPHD	2.68	0.840	
11. SRHD	2.67	0.835	
06. OH LLC	2.64	0.820	
03. YMCA	2.62	0.810	
08. ALTCEW	2.53	0.765	

Preference Set = NEW PREF. SET



Ranking for 3. Does the problem and intervention proposed align with BHT's regional priorities?

Alternative	Level	Utility	
18. BHT	2.96	0.980	
14. POHC	2.95	0.975	
05. WDSF	2.91	0.955	
04. OHF	2.89	0.945	
02. CHAS & FBH	2.88	0.940	
16. Lincoln PHD	2.86	0.930	
15. EARHC	2.83	0.915	
19. SNAP	2.76	0.880	
08. ALTCEW	2.68	0.840	
10. Public Health	2.67	0.835	
07. CC	2.63	0.815	
17. Providence	2.55	0.775	
13. FCPHD	2.51	0.755	
03. YMCA	2.47	0.735	
11. SRHD	2.47	0.735	
01. Chas	2.46	0.730	
09. PHS	2.3	0.650	
06. OH LLC	2.21	0.605	
12. NETCHD	2.05	0.525	

Preference Set = NEW PREF. SET



Ranking for 4. Does this project stand to address Health Inequity in the Region?

Alternative	Level	Utility	
18. BHT	2.89	0.945	
10. Public Health	2.87	0.935	
14. POHC	2.85	0.925	
16. Lincoln PHD	2.85	0.925	
17. Providence	2.85	0.925	
07. CC	2.85	0.925	
19. SNAP	2.8	0.900	
01. Chas	2.78	0.890	
03. YMCA	2.77	0.885	
05. WDSF	2.75	0.875	
13. FCPHD	2.73	0.865	
15. EARHC	2.73	0.865	
02. CHAS & FBH	2.71	0.855	
11. SRHD	2.69	0.845	
04. OHF	2.67	0.835	
08. ALTCEW	2.62	0.810	
09. PHS	2.61	0.805	
06. OH LLC	2.59	0.795	
12. NETCHD	2.54	0.770	

Preference Set = NEW PREF. SET



Ranking for 5. How likely is it this project will be ready to implement by July 2018?

Alternative	Level	Utility	
10. Public Health	2.8	0.900	
18. BHT	2.76	0.880	
07. CC	2.76	0.880	
17. Providence	2.73	0.865	
14. POHC	2.71	0.855	
16. Lincoln PHD	2.59	0.795	
02. CHAS & FBH	2.55	0.775	
11. SRHD	2.54	0.770	
05. WDSF	2.52	0.760	
19. SNAP	2.52	0.760	
13. FCPHD	2.41	0.705	
01. Chas	2.4	0.700	
03. YMCA	2.39	0.695	
04. OHF	2.39	0.695	
15. EARHC	2.33	0.665	
12. NETCHD	2.31	0.655	
08. ALTCEW	2.29	0.645	
09. PHS	2.28	0.640	
06. OH LLC	2.22	0.610	

Preference Set = NEW PREF. SET



Ranking for 6. How well does the project demonstrate commitment to collaborate w/ multiple sectors?

Alternative	Level	Utility	
07. CC	2.88	0.940	
10. Public Health	2.84	0.920	
02. CHAS & FBH	2.81	0.905	
18. BHT	2.79	0.895	
19. SNAP	2.79	0.895	
14. POHC	2.77	0.885	
17. Providence	2.73	0.865	
11. SRHD	2.69	0.845	
15. EARHC	2.69	0.845	
05. WDSF	2.56	0.780	
03. YMCA	2.55	0.775	
16. Lincoln PHD	2.53	0.765	
13. FCPHD	2.51	0.755	
08. ALTCEW	2.51	0.755	
01. Chas	2.46	0.730	
04. OHF	2.46	0.730	
12. NETCHD	2.11	0.555	
09. PHS	2.1	0.550	
06. OH LLC	2.02	0.510	

Preference Set = NEW PREF. SET



Ranking for 7. What is the likelihood the scope of the target population will track to a high level of impact within five years?

Alternative	Level	Utility	
07. CC	2.92	0.960	
18. BHT	2.89	0.945	
02. CHAS & FBH	2.81	0.905	
10. Public Health	2.78	0.890	
19. SNAP	2.78	0.890	
17. Providence	2.76	0.880	
15. EARHC	2.7	0.850	
04. OHF	2.65	0.825	
01. Chas	2.63	0.815	
03. YMCA	2.59	0.795	
13. FCPHD	2.57	0.785	
05. WDSF	2.55	0.775	
14. POHC	2.54	0.770	
08. ALTCEW	2.47	0.735	
16. Lincoln PHD	2.45	0.725	
09. PHS	2.39	0.695	
11. SRHD	2.38	0.690	
12. NETCHD	2.26	0.630	
06. OH LLC	2.21	0.605	

Preference Set = NEW PREF. SET



Alternative	Utility	
18. BHT	0.935	
10. Public Health	0.906	
07. CC	0.903	
14. POHC	0.889	
02. CHAS & FBH	0.889	
17. Providence	0.880	
19. SNAP	0.871	
16. Lincoln PHD	0.853	
15. EARHC	0.852	
05. WDSF	0.843	
04. OHF	0.836	
01. Chas	0.815	
13. FCPHD	0.809	
03. YMCA	0.803	
11. SRHD	0.802	
08. ALTCEW	0.767	
09. PHS	0.716	
12. NETCHD	0.700	
06. OH LLC	0.687	

- 3. Does the problem and intervention proposed align with BHT's regional priorities?
■ 1. Do you see this project resulting in long term benefits to our Health System, beyond the 5-year demonstration period?
■ 2. Does the presenter demonstrate a solid understanding of the model they propose to use?

